



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,533	12/23/2003	Hirofumi Muratani	04329.3209	7671
22852	7590	08/12/2009	EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			MACKOWEY, ANTHONY M	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2624		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		08/12/2009		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/743,533	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MURATANI, HIROFUMI
	<b>Examiner</b> ANTHONY MACKOWEY	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) ANTHONY MACKOWEY. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard Burgujian (Reg. No. 31,744). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 July 2009

**Time:** 2:35 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Brian P. Werner/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative indicating claims 1 and 10 had been amended to the "acquisition unit including input terminals" and while the disclosure supported the acquisition units and acquisition of the topological invariant, key information and target content, the disclosure did not provide sufficient support for structure corresponding to "input terminals". Examiner noted that the "terminals" appeared to have been added to the claim to provide additional or clarification to the structure of the apparatus in order to overcome the previous rejection under 35 USC 101. Examiner also indicated that upon further review and consideration of the specification, particularly page 45, the specification did not support the "units" as being embodied by pure software but were a combination of a computer and software program, where the computer is functioning according to the software instructions thereby realizing the function of the "units". It was agreed to delete the language "including input terminals" from claims 1 and 10 through Examiner's Amendment.